1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LIMITED STAT	FEC DISTRICT COLIDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		* * *
9	LINWOOD EDWARD TRACY, JR.; et al.,	) ) ) 3:11-cv-0436-LRH-VPC
10	PlaintiffS,	)
11	V.	ORDER
12	CEO, SUCCESSOR FOR DEUTSCHE NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY; et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	Detendants.	_)
15	Before the court are various motions styled motions to dismiss and motions to quash filed	
16	by plaintiff Linwood Edward Tracy, Jr. ("Tracy") which the court shall construe as motions to	
17	strike. See Doc. ##194, 196, 198, 206, 207, 220, 223, 224.	
18	I. Facts and Background	
19	At its core, this is a wrongful foreclosure and wrongful taxation action. Plaintiff William	
20	Gerald Fillion ("Fillion") owned real property in California which was subject to state, county, and	
21	city tax assessments. The tax assessments went unpaid and eventually the property was foreclosed	
22	upon.	
23	On June 21, 2011, plaintiffs filed a civil rights complaint against defendants for violation of	
24	their First and Fourth Amendment rights. See Doc. #1. In particular, plaintiffs challenge the tax	
25	assessments and foreclosure claiming that the property belonged to a non-profit organization.	

Throughout this litigation, defendants have filed various motions, responses, and other

26

documents with the court. Additionally, the court has issued several orders in this action. Plaintiff 1 2 Tracy has filed the present motions seeking to strike these filings and orders. II. Discussion 3 4 In his motions, Tracy seeks to strike certain documents filed with the court because the 5 documents were not properly signed in accordance with the Local Rules. The court has reviewed 6 Tracy's motions and finds they are without merit because the documents at issue were filed 7 electronically in accordance with the court's Local Rules. Electronically filed documents are 8 considered properly signed when an authorized user uses a personal log-in and password. See 9 Special Order of the Court #109 (issued September 30, 2005) ("The filing of a document through 10 the use of an authorized user's User Log-In and Password shall constitute the "signature" of that 11 attorney for purposes of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11"). Therefore, the court finds that there is no basis to 12 strike the appropriately filed documents. 13 Tracy also argues that certain court orders should be stricken because he removed this 14 action to the Ninth Circuit. However, the Ninth Circuit had already dismissed his appeal for lack of 15 jurisdiction at the time the court issued its orders. Therefore, those documents were also 16 appropriately issued by the court. Accordingly, the court shall deny all of Tracy's motions. 17 18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's various motions styled motions to dismiss, 19 motions to quash, and motions to strike (Doc. ##194, 196, 198, 206, 207, 220, 223, 224) are 20 DENIED. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 DATED this 28th day of January, 2013. 23 24 25

26

Aldrihe LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 2